UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

PATRICIA FORTLAGE	
Plaintiff(s),	CASE NO. C08-03406 VRW
V. HELLER EHRMAN LLP et al.	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS
Defendant(s).	
Counsel report that they have met an following stipulation pursuant to Civil L.R.	nd conferred regarding ADR and have reached the 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5:
The parties agree to participate in the follow	ving ADR process:
appreciably more likely to meet their needs	NE) (ADR L.R. 5) tlement conference with a Magistrate Judge is than any other form of ADR, must participate in an
ADR phone conference and may not file thi ADR Phone Conference. See Civil Local Ru	is form. They must instead file a Notice of Need for le 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5)
Private Process: Private ADR (please identify	process and provider)
	by: we deadline is 90 days from the date of the order of process unless otherwise ordered.)
other requested deadline	
Dated: 10/2/2008	/s/ Geoffrey V. White
	Attorney for Plaintiff
Dated: 10/2/2008	/s/ Horace W. Green
	Attorney for Defendant

-[PROPOSED] ORDER

Pursuant to the Stipulation above, the captioned matter is hereby referred to:

Non-binding Arbitration Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE)

✓ Mediation
Private ADR

Deadline for ADR session

✓ 90 days from the date of this order. other

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated:	10/8/08	

